



SELECTED WORKS

CONFIDENTIAL PORTFOLIO


This document contains proprietary work examples and is intended solely for professional evaluation.
Projects under NDA are presented in abstracted form without client identification, internal data, or branded content.
Unauthorized copying, distribution, or use is prohibited.

PROJECT PLG: PARAMETRIC LATTICE GENERATOR

Interactive real-time lattice generator implemented using JavaScript/WebGL is available at: www.dimiale.com


Problem

Performance footwear development requires systematic exploration of lightweight lattice structures (hexagonal, quad, rhombus, triangular cells) for FEA simulation, limiting design exploration to 5–10 configurations per day considering manufacturing constraints (volume limits, symmetry requirements).



Solution KPIs (120h development)

- Time reduction :** 40–80 minutes → 2–3 minutes per variant
- Design exploration :** 5–10 manual variants → 800 systematic variations
- Material efficiency :** 20–40% weight reduction while meeting strength requirements



Transferability

Applicable to aerospace wing structures, automotive crash absorption components, medical implant lattices, architectural facade systems, protective packaging optimization—any domain requiring lightweight structural performance.

Technical Implementation

Pattern generation on complex double-curved surfaces with enforced bilateral symmetry. Integrated Grasshopper Hops for accelerated batch processing. Python handles fillets operations (it stabilizes) and mesh export with embedded simulation parameters. Gradient-based manual optimization enabled through rapid regeneration after FEA analysis.

PROJECT OSM: ORGANIC SURFACE MODELING

Problem

High-quality pattern-based surfaces require manual surface construction with attention to blend transitions, surface curvature continuity and manufacturing constraints, such as for all types of advanced manufacturing.

- base sub-surface
- wave source point
- wave frequency
- wave amplitude
- blend zone width

INPUT

- translation of UV domain into point grid
- circular boundary trimming or domain remapping to disc
- mathematical function evaluation per vertex
- vertex move along Z by computed displacement values

PROCESSING

infinite geometric configurations
of production-ready solids

OUTPUT

Solution KPIs (8h development)

- Time reduction :**
30min per organic surface variant → instant regeneration
- Pattern exploration :**
5 manual variants → 100+ validated pattern options
- Blend quality :**
Achieved G1 continuity across organic-to-rigid transitions



Transferability

Applicable to medical/dental device surface modeling, ergonomic product housings, organic form blending for consumer electronics, injection-molded decorative components


Technical Implementation

Wave propagation algorithm on double-curved NURBS with G1/G2 blend surface construction between organic and rigid zones. Automated curvature validation and manufacturing constraint checks (overhang limits, wall thickness for FDM).

PROJECT SFP: SURFACE FEATURE PLACEMENT SYSTEM

Problem





Functional surface protrusions requires manual modeling for each geometric variation—adjusting position, height, rotation, tip shape, and blending for every design iteration. Manual workflow prevents systematic exploration of grip patterns and makes design iteration impractical for projects requiring dozens of variants.



infinite geometric configurations of production-ready solids

Solution KPIs (180h development)

Time reduction :
2-3h per configuration → instant regeneration
Iteration capacity :
3-5 concepts per day → 50+ variants per day
Performance quantification :
Automated geometry estimation + sorting for traction analysis



Transferability

Core placement logic applies to: ergonomic grip surfaces (power tools, medical devices), thermal management (heat sink fin placement), mold cavity design for functional patterns, anti-slip industrial safety equipment.

Automated Analytics

System generates performance statistics per feature: wall surface area, directional surface area (Y-axis projection for traction analysis), geometric validity checks. Canvas-based spatial sorting of protrusions organizes component positioning for structured data export.

Technical Implementation

Generative design system for parametric placement and blending of functional surface protrusions. Handles complex double-curved base geometry with automatic edge-case resolution (features extend beyond base surface).

PROJECT QC: GEOMETRIC QUANTITY & COST EXTRACTION SYSTEM

Problem

Office fit-out projects required manual extraction of geometric data from CAD plans (areas, workspace counts, spatial categories), followed by cost calculation from market pricing spreadsheets and layout (re-)preparation for client presentations.

- Automated office plan geometry (from space generation tools)
- Excel cost database per unit (Standard/Premium/High)
- regulatory formulas + descriptions + disclaimers
- layout sheet dimensions

INPUT

- automated extraction of areas and workspace typologies from CAD generated floorplan
- categorization of geometry by construction phase (owner/tenant fit-out, furnishings, technical systems)
- cross-referencing with pricing database
- generation of formatted layout with auto-positioned tables and proportional wheel chart visualization

PROCESSING

- client-ready PDF with cost breakdown across 3 pricing tiers

OUTPUT


Solution KPIs (80h development)

- Update speed :** 2-hour recalculation after plan changes → 30 seconds
- Error reduction :** 15–20% manual calculation errors → <2% automated
- Client presentation preparation :** 2 days for multiple comparison variants → 10 minutes

Einfacher Kostenrahmen

Durchschnittliche Kosten Ausbau Eigentümer		1100 €/m ²	1500 €/m ²	2000 €/m ²
		Standard	Gehobener Standard	Premium
Kosten Eigentümer		1.694.921 - 2.448.219 €	2.448.219 - 3.201.518 €	3.201.518 - 4.331.465 €
Ausbau	Kostengruppe 300-700	1.694.921 - 2.448.219 €	2.448.219 - 3.201.518 €	3.201.518 - 4.331.465 €
Kosten Mieter		8.123.547 - 9.684.693 €	8.585.526 - 10.203.170 €	9.104.002 - 10.731.646 €
Beratung & Strategie	Kostengruppe 700 Baunebenkosten	10.000 - 20.000 €	20.000 - 30.000 €	30.000 - 50.000 €
Design	Kostengruppe 700 Baunebenkosten	65.914 - 84.746 €	103.579 - 122.411 €	141.243 - 160.076 €
Netzwerkverkabelung	Kostengruppe 400 Technische Anlagen	150.660 - 188.325 €	188.325 - 282.487 €	282.487 - 376.649 €
Möbiliar & Schreinerleistungen	Kostengruppe 600 Ausstattung und Kunstwerke	376.649 - 564.974 €	564.974 - 753.298 €	753.298 - 941.623 €
Medientechnik & IT	Kostengruppe 600 Ausstattung und Kunstwerke	188.325 - 376.649 €	376.649 - 564.974 €	564.974 - 753.298 €
Projektmanagement	Kostengruppe 700 Baunebenkosten	52.000 - 130.000 €	52.000 - 130.000 €	52.000 - 130.000 €
Umzug	Kostengruppe 700 Baunebenkosten	7.280.000 - 8.320.000 €	7.280.000 - 8.320.000 €	7.280.000 - 8.320.000 €

SpaceCost bietet einen ersten, transparenten Überblick über alle relevanten Kostenarten, die im Rahmen einer Büroflächenplanung auf Nutzerseite und Eigentümerseite entstehen können – noch bevor konkrete Projektinformationen vorliegen. Der einfache Kostenrahmen hilft dabei, frühzeitig ein Gefühl für die Größenordnung und Struktur möglicher Aufwände zu bekommen. Im weiteren Projektverlauf wird diese Übersicht zur detaillierten Kostenschätzung weiterentwickelt.



Transferability

Applicable to residential construction cost estimation, retail space planning budgets, warehouse layout optimization, facility management cost modeling—any context requiring geometric data extraction from CAD for downstream business processes.

Core value is automated geometric data extraction from complex CAD plans—area calculations, spatial category identification, component quantification

Technical Implementation

Python ensures stable Excel parsing. Grasshopper Pancake plugin enables complex conditional logic for cost categorization. Elefront manages Rhino blocks and smart automated baking for layout generation. System integrates with upstream plan generation tools for end-to-end workflow. Multilingual layout switching (German/English) via Excel configuration.

PROJECT EFS: EDGE FILLETING SYSTEM

Problem

Applying fillets to complex CAD models with hundreds of edges requires manual edge identification by its indicies—a process prone to selection errors and geometry failures later on if done simultaneously with standard Grasshopper components. 50+ models required 2–4 hours of manual work with ~15-20% error rate in edge selection.

BREP geometry

dihedral angle threshold

edge length filter

INPUT

Geometric analysis algorithm calculates face normal angles at edge midpoints

filters edges by sharpness and length criteria

sequential (not simultaneous) filleting with spatial re-indexing (edges tracked by 3D location, not index)

automated BREP validation

PROCESSING

production-ready
filleted closed solids

OUTPUT

Solution KPIs (35h development)

Time reduction :



2–4 hours → 3–5 minutes per 50+ models

Error elimination :

15-20% selection error rate → 0% with automated detection

Material optimization :

5–15% material reduction through consistent fillet application



Transferability

Core logic applies to any industry requiring edge treatment on complex CAD solids: automotive interior components, medical device ergonomics, consumer electronics housing, aerospace structural fairings.


Technical Implementation

Custom algorithm solves topology instability by storing edge curves before modification, then spatially relocating them after each fillet operation. Enables batch processing of complex models that break conventional filleting tools.

PROJECT LFB: LIGHTWEIGHT FACADE BLOCK




Problem

Conventional façades separate structure, insulation, shading, and cladding into distinct layers, trades, and material streams. Each added layer increases weight, labour, and waste. Integrating all functions into a single fabricable element demands a workflow where structural, thermal, and solar logic are resolved together rather than sequentially.



Solution KPIs (60h development)

- Function integration :** structure, insulation, shading, assembly → one block
- Assembly logic :** mortar-based wet trades → dry interlocking, mortarless stacking
- Design coupling :** sequential structural / thermal / solar review → single simulation-driven geometry



Transferability

Applicable wherever structural, thermal, and shading performance must be resolved in a single fabricated element: heritage-sensitive façades, climate-adaptive envelopes, prefabricated dry-assembly systems, and — beyond architecture — aerospace panels and automotive components requiring functionally graded infill.

Technical Implementation

Rhinoceros 8 as the main platform, with Grasshopper coordinating Ladybug (solar), HTflux (thermal FEM/FEA), and Lunchbox (cell structure). Hexagonal infill is generated directly from simulation output rather than drawn and checked afterwards: bound concrete with recycled glass granulate forms the load-bearing skeleton, while unbound granulate remaining

inside the printed cells acts as insulation. Cell walls thicken along stress lines traced from beam and window positions, and thin where loads are light. Shading profiles are cut into the outer surface per façade orientation. All geometry respects the 1.5 cm minimum wall thickness of the SPI process. Prototype printed by Additive Tectonics (FIT AG GmbH).